E-MAIL COMMUNICATION APPLICATIONS IN PATIENT PHYSICIAN COMMUNICATION: A SYSTEMATIZED REVIEW
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ABSTRACT

Introduction:
Email is a beneficial way in order to increase interaction between patients and physicians. Since using this technology, patients and different physicians are able to increase patients’ health level and the amount of physicians’ duties. This study was done to identify the applications of email in communications between patients and physicians.

Material and Methods:
The current study is a systematized review conducted in 2019. The literature search done by the relevant keywords to the subject in databases: Web of Science, Scopus, PubMed, Science Direct and Cochran. After applying inclusion and exclusion criteria 34 articles were included. Based on the study’s aim, results were summarized and reported by Content Analysis Technique.

Results:
The results showed that 15 articles (44%) using email technology to investigate laboratory’s resources. Utilizing email in 9 articles (26%) showed that it caused an improvement in healthcare quality.

Conclusion:
Results showed that use of email’s capabilities has a key role in improving healthcare quality as well as time saving. So, we suggest that the authorities deploy certain and codified mechanism in order to utilizing email communication between patients and physicians.
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INTRODUCTION

The utility of information technology is necessary to improve services, increasing knowledge, the quality of information, more effective communications, more completed results and more applications of information [1]. With regard to evolution of communication tools, nowadays using of telecommunication networks such as internet is widespread [2]. Internet has made a setting of novel communication ways in order to use it for both suppliers and consumers of healthcare services [3] that could be a complimentary to or substitute for face to face traditional communications or even telephone contact [4]. The evolution of communications has made an effect on healthcare system; it's used for the prevention [5, 6], diagnosis and treatment of diseases [5, 7] and finally patients’ health development [5, 6]. However the inappropriate use of internet threatens people health conditions in interchanging of patients’ health information [6]. According to the previous studies, it's possible to communicate via mobile [3, 8, 9], text message [3], telephone contact [10], Facebook, website [11] and email [3, 5, 8, 10-15]. Email is alike to a letter and was already used to unimportant conversations and twosome dialogues [12]. Email is a new technology in healthcare employed in wide spectrum of diseases over the last decade. In fact it ties up environmental around through internet or computer [16] and is one of the most important
MATERIAL AND METHODS

With the aim of identifying email communication applications between physician and patient, the current study was conducted in 2016. The study began with a search of selected databases including PubMed, Web of Sciences, Cochrane, Science Direct, Google Scholar by a combination of keywords by imposing a limitation on English language and title Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Databases</th>
<th>PubMed, Google Scholar, Cochraran, ISI, Scopus, Science Direct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Limits</td>
<td>Language (only resources in English), title, abstract, keyword, article</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>up to 2/25/2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>(&quot;email*&quot; OR &quot;E Mail&quot; OR &quot;E Mails&quot; OR &quot;email&quot; OR &quot;E Mails&quot; OR &quot;E-Mail&quot; OR &quot;e-mail&quot; OR &quot;internet mail&quot; OR &quot;mailing-list&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>(&quot;patient*&quot; OR &quot;Person*&quot; OR &quot;Inpatient*&quot; OR &quot;Outpatient&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>(&quot;Physician&quot; OR &quot;Physicians&quot; OR &quot;Doctor&quot; OR &quot;Specialist&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search query</td>
<td>#1 And #2 And #3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1: The PRISMA flowchart for the paper selection process

Using of email was for patient’s communication with other healthcare providers except physician regardless of the study time limitation. Selection of articles was based on PRISMA statement in Fig 1 and finding 32 articles out of 2429 retrieved articles were included in the study. We include all related articles without time limitation, which published in English language. Also availability and relevancy of articles were considered.

All other types of articles including case reports, letters to editor and letters from editor, short communication,
and conferential articles excluded from the study. All articles except in English language were excluded. The articles which were irrelevance to the study subject, excluded. All articles which using other communication methods, using email as a complementary communication way, using email for communication between patients, and communication between healthcare professionals were excluded.

In the manual search (Gray Literature) we fund two another articles, and totally 34 articles included in the study. By using a data gathering form the relevant information of selective articles were collected. Data were summarized and reported based on the research objectives using content analysis technique.

## RESULTS

34 articles of total articles with included criteria were selected in which the largest numbers was in 2003 with 6 articles (17.65%) and the most of them were done in United States with 26 studies (46.47%). The most data gathering tool was questionnaire.

The results of this study showed that the average of email communication period between physicians and patients lasts three months to one year. Based on the findings it’s also indicated that using email leads to improvement in healthcare quality in some cases [15, 18, 20].

The obtained results from included 34 studies showed in two following tables. Based on the results of this study, email was regarded as web-based and software-based communication.

Table 2 shows details of categorization of email communication between physician and patient that are put in 4 main dimensions.

Based on Table 2 Laboratory resources and obtaining health information in order to prevent diseases were among the most important emails’ subject.

Furthermore, other findings showed that in some cases the physicians-patients’ satisfaction of email communications was suitable in Table 4 [8, 18, 32].

Table 3 introduces threats and restrictions of email communication. Based on that the possibility of misdiagnosing pointed amongst the most important threatens and security limitation amongst the most important restrictions.

The other results of this study in Table 4 show the advantageous and disadvantageous of using email between physicians and patients.

### Table 2: Categorization of email communication between physician and patient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Response time of email</td>
<td>Same-day response time of email [8, 12], to 72 hours after receiving email [37, 39] Response time rate of email by physician 2-60 min [8, 10, 12, 24, 25, 28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Type of disease</td>
<td>Diabetes, blood pressure [5, 32, 36], asthma [32, 36], cardiovascular [11, 20, 32, 36], Psoriasis [20], allergy, high cholesterol, depression [11, 36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Type of specialty</td>
<td>General practitioner and family physician [23, 35, 36], primary care practitioner [7, 12, 13, 16, 25, 26, 29, 30, 35, 37, 39, 40], surgeon [12, 16, 23, 36], internist [16, 26], gynecologist [5, 16, 28], neurologist, emergency specialist, psychiatrist [28], pediatrician [26, 35-37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Email subject in relation between physician and patient</td>
<td>Laboratory resources [35-37], criticism and acknowledgment [22], nutritional modification interactive program (smoking cessation and weight loss) [13, 32], Obtaining health information in order to prevent diseases [32, 35, 37, 39, 40], Insurance organizations access to information [13, 21, 40], medical status and clinical decision making [12, 24, 28], extension of new paramedical prescription, setting appointment [23, 26, 27, 32, 35, 40], bill payment [32, 35, 36, 40], Vaccine and other healthcare services [13], providing disease information for treatment [35-37, 40], referral request [35, 40]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The results of this study showed that 24% of included studies emphasized the improvement in healthcare quality and creation of precise health record using email communication. Boukus et al. expressed that email is a tool to improve communication between physician and patient and also for patient’s healthcare service. It suggested that email or other electronic tools could improve the communications, quality, patients’ results, and increase patients’ satisfaction as well as enthusiasm [23]. Leong et al. concluded that email is a wide kind of communication that is part of Electronic Health Record (EHR) that causes increasing healthcare services and improving patients’ health results [12]. However, few studies pointed at inefficiency of using email for physician and patient communication [35].

Using email in communication between physician and patient have various consequences which mean that in some specialties exploiting it is effective, but the obtained results from some studies and particular specialties do not point at positive effect of email on control group. Sittig pointed that email is an important method to develop communication between physician and patient and since physicians are worried over the number of patients that causes them confused, it is suggested that the patients’ requests could be done by using email; that results in saving time instead of telephone contact or visiting at clinic [8]. Even though the majority of studies pointed at the positive impact of using email in terms of physician and patient communication, but few studies pointed at its inefficiency. Therefore, it sounds it needs conducting more studies regarding the area of email communication between physician and patient.

The results of the current study emphasize involvement of patients in medical decision making with the help of email in communication between physician and patient. Menachemi et al. concluded that it is better to introduce a program to patients in order to provide guidelines to teach them the best performances [22].

Other results of this study showed that it is possible to use email in communication between physician and patient as a complementary communication alongside traditional communication methods, that is not be considered as a stand-alone basis for communication and never be a replacement for traditional communications. Katez also found the same results [35].

The results showed that reimbursement on communicating with physicians is one of the issues of using email in communication between physician and patient. Peleg et al. suggest that asking patients to put...
down a deposit can schedule patients' subsequent interactions with clinics [14]. To manage the mass of emails, clinics' staffs are suggested to be used to manage emails, medical results explanation and online healthcare reimbursement mechanism [20].

The findings of this study showed that security is a limitation to email communication between physician and patient. Regarding the importance of security in physician and patient communication, it is pointed at using of email security feedback in many studies [5, 13, 17, 24, 28].

The amount of physicians' workload is a hindrance to create communication between physician and patient that in some studies, its increase contributed to the increasing number of emails to physicians by patients that results in refusal to create such communication by physicians, and in some other studies it is considered to be has positive effect or even ineffective. Leong et al. say that email increases the duration of response time to patients' emails, treatment, and their visiting, but does not increase physician workload [12]. However, Fridsma et al. express that it is possible to prevent high workload on physicians and also improve patients' healthcare by using electronic messages applicable methods [7].

**CONCLUSION**

Using of email' capabilities has a significant role in improving the quality of health care services, therefore, with regard to the results of this study it is suggested it be used as a tool for communication between physicians and patients. Considering the email communication between physician and patient that is a major problem in reimbursement, therefore, a contract between insurer and physicians for reimbursement, making available both electronic insurance cards (for clinics that support email communication) and in print insurance card for other clinics should be taken into consideration. Because of the importance of the subject, it is suggested to conduct more studies in relation to email communication between physician and patient so that to identify precisely the type and the effect of it on physicians' performance and efficiency. Based on the result of this study, it is suggested that email be used for consulting, diseases treatment and diseases prevention. It also seems necessary to carry out some studies in order to determine physicians and patients' satisfaction, effectively, efficiency and profitability regarding email communication. Considering the patients' level of access to email and their ability to use it could be a proper solution to use email effectively in physician and patient communication.
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